

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/029,461	12/21/2001	John A. Dispenza	Dispenza 8-3	5477	
75	7590 04/14/2006		EXAMINER		
John E. Curtin, Esq.			LIN, KUANG Y		
Troutman Sanders LLP 1660 International Drive, Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA			1725 DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>W</u>			
	*	10/029,461	DISPENZA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period fo		V 10 05T TO EVOIDE + NO	NET ((0) OD T) DT) ((0) DA) (•			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A SECTION ASSESSMENT OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status			•				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims		*				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	ı <u>.</u>					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		a .				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	• •			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	••					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•	•				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 	•	eceived in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eceived	_			
	:	or and dominou doprod not no					
Attachmen 1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,461

Art Unit: 1725

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of forming heat exchanger, classified in class 164, subclass 98.
- II. Claims 17-18, drawn to a heat exchanger, classified in class 165, subclass148.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of Invention II can be made with a method which is materially different from that of Invention I. For example, it can be made with a squeeze casting process or a powder metallurgical process.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Zucker on April 12, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1725

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,461 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725